

Application No. 08/514,000

*C2*

10. (Amended) A process in accordance with claim 1, wherein the reclaimed undeveloped developer cake is separated from the second liquid developer until the solids content of the second liquid developer drops below from about 6 to about 10 weight percent.

REMARKSCLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 1-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claims the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants again assert that claim 1 is definite. As stated in the previous amendment, Paper No. 4, dated July 23, 2001, the term "developer cake" refers for example, to the deposition of the second liquid developer onto a liquid receiver member, see page 12, lines 8 and 9.

With regard to claim 10, the developer cake is not "dispersed in the second liquid developer in the form of toner particles or solids", as asserted by the Examiner. The developer cake contains both liquids and solids. The developer cake refers for example, to the deposition product of the second liquid developer onto a liquid receiver member.

With regard to the paragraph numbered "8." paper 7, applicants have discussed the phrase "developer cake" herein.

For at least the above reasons, applicants believe that the claims are in condition for allowance and which allowance is respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. 103

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,826,147 (LIU) combined with US 5,345,298 (Wetlings) and US 5,254,427 (Lane), as evidenced by the EXXON product information bulletins for ISOPAR G and H.

Applicant's point out that they are not now, nor were they attempting in